

## **LABELING AND MOVEMENT**

*Carlo Cecchetto*

University of Milan-Bicocca and SFL (CNRS & Université Paris 8)

In this talk I will defend the following strictly syntax-internal definition of label:

(1) When two objects  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are merged, a subset of the features of either  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  become the label of the syntactic object  $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ . The label:

- (i) can trigger further computation and
- (ii) is visible from outside the syntactic object  $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ .

This definition in (1) is *not* semantically motivated, since the claim that a label plays a role at the semantic interface is very dubious (it is well known that the same syntactic category can correspond to various semantic types).

A consequence of the syntax-internal definition of label in (1) is that the presence of an unlabeled node should *always* block the derivation, as a label-less object cannot be integrated into the structure. This goes against recent treatments of successive cyclic-movement as involving unlabeled nodes that are temporarily allowed but must be dispensed with by the time the semantic interface is accessed. I will re-assess the issue of successive cyclic-movement and I will propose an account that sticks to the definition in (1) and at the same time has interesting consequences for:

- the explanation for strong island violations in a theory that dispenses with the notion of government
- the apparent countercyclical character of head movement
- the way to capture some aspects of the notion of extended projection